

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,652	01/27/2004	Younger Ahluwalia	03398.000004.	3967
5514 FITZPATRICE	7590 04/23/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/766,652	AHLUWALIA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ula C. Ruddock	1794		

	Ula C. Ruddock	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) ∑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if NOTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if NOTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if NOTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENING TO. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause
(b) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further conditions they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	v);	,.	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Ula C Ruddock/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1794	
	,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant attempts to show unexpected results by submitting tested samples. However, as discussed in the previous Advisory Action, the test results in these paragraphs do not fairly compare the combination of Ahluwalia, Langer, GB '260, Dugan, or Dombeck to that of the present invention. More specifically, the tested samples do not compare Ahluwalia's composite material with an aluminum foll layer adhered thereto with clair added. Therefore, the samples are not a fair comparison. As a result, the previously set forth rejection in view of Ahluwalia, Langer, GB '260, Dugan, or Dombeck, is maintained.